# **Concurrent Quantum Separation Logic for Fine-Grained Parallelism**

Yusuke Matsushita (Kyoto University) w/ Kengo Hirata and Ryo Wakizaka 21<sup>th</sup> January, 2025 @ TPSA 2025

### **Overview of Our Work**

We propose **concurrent quantum separation logic** for **modularly** verifying quantum programs with fine-grained parallelism

 Compared to existing quantum SLs [Zhou+ LICS'21] [Le+ POPL'22], our logic is the first to support concurrency and the sharing of quantum resources, and can verify non-trivial programs



### Outline

- Preliminaries on Quantum Computing
- Motivation: Parallelizing Quantum Programs
- Our Work: Concurrent QSL for Fine-Grained Parallelism
- Extension to Probabilistic Reasoning & Conclusion

### **Basics of Quantum Computing**

• State for a *qubit (quantum bit)* = 2D vector  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$ 

**Superposition**  $|\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$   $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$   $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ 

- State for **n** qubits = Vector of *tensor product* space  $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}^{2^n}$ 
  - Composite of  $|\psi\rangle$  and  $|\phi\rangle = Tensor product |\psi\rangle \otimes |\phi\rangle = |\psi\rangle |\phi\rangle = |\psi\phi\rangle$
- **Quantum gate** = Unitary matrix  $U : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$

e.g.,  $H|b\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle + (-1)^{b}|1\rangle) CX|b\rangle|c\rangle = |b\rangle|b \operatorname{xor} c\rangle \quad b, c \in \{0,1\}$ • Measurement = Probabilistic branching & convergence  $\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle \rightarrow \begin{cases} |0\rangle & (w. p. |\alpha|^{2}) \\ |1\rangle & (w. p. |\beta|^{2}) \end{cases}$ 

### **Quantum Program (Circuit)**



### Outline

- Preliminaries on Quantum Computing
- Motivation: Parallelizing Quantum Programs
- Our Work: Concurrent QSL for Fine-Grained Parallelism
- Extension to Probabilistic Reasoning & Conclusion

### **Parallelizing Quantum Programs**

• Parallelizing quantum programs can reduce execution costs







Clever scheduling

• Other candidates



#### **Correctness of Parallelization**

- Parallelization allows exponentially many execution traces!
- Need a modular program logic for parallel quantum programs
  - Correctness of a parallel program ≈ Uniqueness of the output



#### Our Work: Concurrent Quantum Separation Logic for Fine-Grained Parallelism

- **1. Support parallel execution of quantum processes**
- 2. Support shared quantum variables
  - Even when there are apparent write-write races
- 3. Support atomic expressions
  - For non-interfered embedding of quantum circuits



### Outline

- Preliminaries on Quantum Computing
- Motivation: Parallelizing Quantum Programs
- Our Work: Concurrent QSL for Fine-Grained Parallelism
- Extension to Probabilistic Reasoning & Conclusion

### **Our Target Language**

 $e ::= x \mid l \mid n \mid () \mid op(\overline{e})$ | qalloc (qubit allocation) qfree *e* (qubit deallocation) **Quantum**  $| U(\bar{e})$  (quantum gate) | meas(*e*) (qubit measurement) |e||e' (parallel execution) Concurrency | atomic { *e* } (atomic block)  $|e|e \leftarrow e' | \cdots$  (heap) | if  $e \{ e' \}$  else  $\{ e'' \}$  | while  $e \{ e' \}$  | ...

### **Overview of Our Logic**

 $[P] e \{v, Q\}^{I}$ 

 $\{P\} \ e \ \{v.Q\} \ \triangleq \ \{P\} \ e \ \{v.Q\}^{\operatorname{emp}}$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} ::= \ \top \mid \perp \mid \neg P \mid P \land Q \mid P \lor Q \\ \mid P \rightarrow Q \mid \forall a. P_a \mid \exists a. P_a \\ \mid emp \mid P \ast Q \mid \forall P - \ast Q \\ \mid l \mapsto v \mid \bar{x} \mapsto |\psi\rangle \mid [x] \end{array}$$
 (SL connectives)

 $\{ emp \} qalloc \{ x. \ x \mapsto |0\rangle * [x] \} \quad \{ x \mapsto |0\rangle * [x] \} qfree x \{ emp \}$  $\{ \overline{x} \mapsto |\psi\rangle \} U(\overline{x}) \{ \overline{x} \mapsto U|\psi\rangle \} \qquad ... and more interesting rules!$ 

- Quantum points-to token  $\bar{x} \mapsto |\psi\rangle$ : the state vector of  $\bar{x}$  is  $|\psi\rangle$
- Separation \* means disentangled qubit states:  $\overline{x} \mapsto |\psi\rangle * \overline{y} \mapsto |\phi\rangle \equiv (\overline{x}, \overline{y}) \mapsto |\psi\rangle \otimes |\phi\rangle$
- **Qubit token** [x] (new!): Qubit x is alive, but its state is unknown

### A Simple Example

 $C_0X(x,y) \parallel C_1H(x,y)$ 



- Apparent write-write race: X and H gates don't commute ( $XH \neq HX$ )
- Still, no real race condition:  $C_0 X$  and  $C_1 H$  do commute, thanks to the controls by the "cases" where x is  $|0\rangle$  or  $|1\rangle$  resp.



### **Our Key Observation**

#### $C_0X(x,y)\mid\mid C_1H(x,y)$

- Both processes can write to y simultaneously due to superposition
  - If  $x \mapsto \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$  for  $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$ , then both  $C_0 X$  and  $C_1 H$  update y
- How to distribute "write permission" on y to both processes?
- Our idea: Quantum case analysis over the bases of a qubit x



 After the case analysis, only one process writes to the qubit ⇒ The apparent write-write race is eliminated!

### **Linear Combination Rule**

• This idea can be formalized as linear combination of Hoare triples

 $\{ \bar{x} \mapsto |\psi\rangle * P \} e \{ \bar{x} \mapsto |\phi\rangle * Q \}^{I} \{ \bar{x} \mapsto |\psi'\rangle * P \} e \{ \bar{x} \mapsto |\phi'\rangle * Q \}^{I}$ 

 $\{ \bar{x} \mapsto (\alpha | \psi \rangle + \beta | \psi' \rangle) * P \} e \{ \bar{x} \mapsto (\alpha | \phi \rangle + \beta | \phi' \rangle) * Q \}^{I}$ 

- With the side condition *Q*, *I*: precise
  - Precise assertions represent a unique (or no) resource
    - e.g., emp,  $\bot$ ,  $l \mapsto v, x \mapsto |\psi\rangle$ ,  $l \mapsto v * x \mapsto |\psi\rangle$ , ...
  - If not *I*: precise, the angelic branching on *I* makes the rule unsound

#### **Now Our Subgoals:**

 $\{ (x, y) \mapsto |0\rangle |\phi_0\rangle * [y] \} C_0 X(x, y) \mid |C_1 H(x, y) \{ (x, y) \mapsto |0\rangle \otimes X |\phi_0\rangle * [y] \}$  $\{ (x, y) \mapsto |1\rangle |\phi_1\rangle * [y] \} C_0 X(x, y) \mid |C_1 H(x, y) \{ (x, y) \mapsto |1\rangle \otimes H |\phi_1\rangle * [y] \}$ 

#### **Resource Sharing via Invariants**



### **Anti-Frame Rule by Atomicity**

$$\{x \mapsto |0\rangle * [y]\} C_1 X(x, y) \{x \mapsto |0\rangle * [y]\}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} e \text{ is atomic } P: \text{out } x & Q: \text{ precise} \\ \hline \forall |\psi\rangle. \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto |\psi\rangle * [x] * P \end{array} \right\} e \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto |\psi\rangle * [x] * Q \end{array} \right\} \\ \hline \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix} * P \right\} e \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix} * Q \end{array} \right\} \\ \hline \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix} * P \right\} e \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix} * Q \end{array} \right\} \\ \hline \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P * R \right\} e \left\{ Q * R \right\} \end{array} \end{array}$$

- Qubit token [x] allows atomic temporary writes to x
  e.g., I(x), atomic { X(x); (... x is unchanged ...); X(x) }
- Other processes can freely access x with the points-to token  $x\mapsto |\psi
  angle$ 
  - Technically, qubit tokens can be used for *dirty qubits*

## Complete Proof for $C_0 X(x, y) || C_1 H(x, y)$

 $\{(x, y) \mapsto (\alpha |0\rangle |\phi_0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle |\phi_1\rangle) * [y]\}$  $\{x \mapsto |0\rangle * y \mapsto |\phi_0\rangle * [y]\}$  $\{x \mapsto |1\rangle * y \mapsto |\phi_1\rangle * [y]\}$  $\{ y \mapsto |\phi_0\rangle * [y] \}^{x \mapsto |0\rangle}$  $\{ y \mapsto |\phi_1\rangle * [y] \}^{x \mapsto |1\rangle}$  $C_0 X(x, y) || C_1 H(x, y)$  $\{ y \mapsto X | \phi_0 \rangle * [y] \}^{x \mapsto |0\rangle}$  $\{ y \mapsto H | \phi_1 \rangle * [y] \}^{x \mapsto |1\rangle}$  $\{x \mapsto |0\rangle * y \mapsto X |\phi_0\rangle * [y]\}$  $\{x \mapsto |1\rangle * y \mapsto H |\phi_1\rangle * [y]\}$ 

 $\begin{cases} y \mapsto |\phi_0\rangle \}^{x \mapsto |0\rangle} \{ [y] \}^{x \mapsto |1\rangle} \\ \{ y \mapsto |\phi_0\rangle * x \mapsto |0\rangle \} \{ [y] * x \mapsto |1\rangle \} \\ C_0 X(x, y) \\ \{ y \mapsto X |\phi_0\rangle * x \mapsto |0\rangle \} \{ [y] * x \mapsto |1\rangle \} \\ \{ y \mapsto X |\phi_0\rangle \}^{x \mapsto |0\rangle} \{ [y] \}^{x \mapsto |1\rangle} \end{cases}$ 

 $\{ \begin{bmatrix} y \end{bmatrix} \}^{x \mapsto |0\rangle} \{ y \mapsto |\phi_1\rangle \}^{x \mapsto |1\rangle} \\ \{ \begin{bmatrix} y \end{bmatrix} \} \{ y \mapsto |\phi_1\rangle * x \mapsto |1\rangle \} \\ C_1 H(x, y) \\ \{ \begin{bmatrix} y \end{bmatrix} * x \mapsto |0\rangle \} \{ y \mapsto H |\phi_1\rangle * x \mapsto |1\rangle \} \\ \{ \begin{bmatrix} y \end{bmatrix} \}^{x \mapsto |0\rangle} \{ y \mapsto H |\phi_1\rangle \}^{x \mapsto |1\rangle}$ 

 $\{ (x, y) \mapsto (\alpha | 0 \rangle \otimes X | \phi_0 \rangle + \beta | 1 \rangle \otimes H | \phi_1 \rangle \} * [y] \}$   $\{ P_1 \} \{ P_2 \} e \{ Q_1 \} \{ Q_2 \} \stackrel{\text{def}}{} \{ P_1 \} e \{ Q_1 \} \land \{ P_2 \} e \{ Q_2 \}$ 

#### **More Complex Example**







$$\begin{cases} (x, y, z) \mapsto (\alpha | 0 \rangle | \psi_{yz} \rangle + \beta | 1 \rangle | \phi_{yz} \rangle ) * [y] * [z] * \cdots \} \\ \\ \\ Invariant \\ x \mapsto | 0 \rangle * [y] * [z] \\ | \\ \\ CCY(x, z, y); U(z); CCZ(x, z, y) \\ | \\ \\ atomic \{ X(x); CH(x, y); X(x) \} \\ \\ \\ x \text{ is updated only temporarily} \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{ \left( x, y, z \right) \mapsto \left( \alpha | 0 \right\rangle \otimes H_{y} U_{z} | \psi_{yz} \right\rangle + \beta | 1 \rangle \otimes CCY_{xzy} U_{z} CCZ_{xzy} | \phi_{yz} \rangle \right) * \cdots \right\}$$

### **Another Fun Thing: Commuting Matrices**

We can verify parallelization of arbitrary commuting matrices

• Since commutative matrices are simultaneously diagonalizable

$$\{ x \mapsto (\alpha | 0 \rangle + \beta | 1 \rangle \}$$

$$\{ x \mapsto | 0 \rangle \} \{ x \mapsto | 1 \rangle \}$$

$$\{ () \mapsto 1 \}^{x \mapsto | 0 \rangle} \{ () \mapsto 1 \}^{x \mapsto | 1 \rangle}$$

$$R_{\theta_1}(x) \mid | R_{\theta_2}(x)$$

$$\{ () \mapsto 1 \}^{x \mapsto | 0 \rangle} \{ () \mapsto e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)} \}^{x \mapsto | 1 \rangle}$$

$$\{ x \mapsto | 0 \rangle \} \{ x \mapsto e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)} | 1 \rangle \}$$

$$\{ x \mapsto (\alpha | 0 \rangle + \beta e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)} | 1 \rangle \}$$

 $R_{\theta_1}(x)$  and  $R_{\theta_2}(x)$  have the same - eigenvectors  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$  $\Rightarrow$  Quantum case analysis by  $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$ 

*Global phases* can be tracked with empty-qubit points-to tokens

### Outline

- Preliminaries on Quantum Computing
- Motivation: Parallelizing Quantum Programs
- Our Work: Concurrent QSL for Fine-Grained Parallelism
- Extension to Probabilistic Reasoning & Conclusion

### **Extension to Probabilistic Reasoning**

- Want to support quantum measurements!
- Challenge: Precise reasoning about probabilistic behavior
  - Density matrix, probabilistic distribution modulo equalities

• e.g., 
$$\frac{1}{2}|0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle\langle 1| = \frac{1}{2}|+\rangle\langle +| + \frac{1}{2}|-\rangle\langle -| = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Our idea: Refine Demonic Outcome Logic [Zilberstein+ POPL'25] & its CSL variant [Zilberstein+ arXiv]
  - Key mechanism: **Probabilistic combination**  $P +_p Q$ 
    - Solves the limitations of the existing quantum SL [Le+ POPL'22]
  - Model: **Convex PCM** (new!), a hybrid of convex space & PCM

#### **Teaser of Our Probabilistic Quantum SL**

• On probabilistic combinations

 $P +_{p} Q \equiv Q +_{1-p} P \quad \left(P +_{p} Q\right) +_{q} R \equiv P +_{pq} \left(Q +_{\frac{(1-p)q}{1-pq}} R\right)$   $P \vdash P +_{p} P \quad P: \text{convex } \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall p. P +_{p} P \equiv P$   $\text{Convex hull modality } \Delta P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exists \bar{p} \in (0,1)^{*} \text{ s.t. } \Sigma \bar{p} = 1. \sum_{i} p_{i} P$   $P \vdash \Delta P \quad \Delta \Delta P \equiv \Delta P \quad \Delta P: \text{convex } \Delta \left(P +_{p} Q\right) \equiv \Delta P +_{p} \Delta Q$   $\left(P +_{p} Q\right) * R \equiv P * R +_{p} Q * R \quad \text{if } R: \text{precise}$   $\left\{ \text{ emp } v \oplus_{p} v' \left\{ \langle v \rangle +_{p} \langle v' \rangle \right\} \quad \left\{ \text{ emp } \right\} \text{ ndint } \left\{ \Delta \left( \exists n. \langle n \rangle \right) \right\}$ 

• Quantum

$$\bar{x} \mapsto \rho +_{p} \bar{x} \mapsto \rho' \equiv \bar{x} \mapsto (p\rho + (1-p)\rho')$$

$$\{x \mapsto \rho\} \operatorname{meas}(x) \left\{ \langle 0 \rangle * x \mapsto \frac{1}{p} Pr_{0}\rho Pr_{0} +_{p} \langle 1 \rangle * x \mapsto \frac{1}{1-p} Pr_{1}\rho Pr_{1} \right\}$$
where  $p = \operatorname{tr}(Pr_{0}\rho)$ 

### Conclusion

- We proposed a concurrent quantum separation logic for modular verification of fine-grained parallelism
- Our logic supports shared quantum resources via invariants, the linear combination rule, and the anti-frame rule by atomicity
- Future work
  - More powerful concurrency reasoning
  - Automated optimization of quantum programs & its verification